

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/890,505 | 07/31/2001 | Jean-Pierre Rene Leon | 01394/TL | 4805 |
| | | | | |
| | • | & CHICK, PC | EXAM | INER |
| | SCHIFFMAN, JORI | | | |
| NEW YORK, I | NY 10017-2023 | | | |
| | 07/31/2001 7590 05/29/2003 F, HOLTZ, GOODMAN AVENUE OR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3679 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| e •) | Ap | plication No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09 | 9/890,505 | LEON ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summa | ry Ex | aminer | Art Unit | | | |
| | Joi | ri R. Schiffman | 3679 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this co. | mmunication appears | on the cover shee | et with the correspondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of tf - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 Status | MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply withi imum statutory period will apy for reply will, by statute, caus nonths after the mailing date | In no event, however, mann the statutory minimum of bly and will expire SIX (6) e the application to become | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication | n(s) filed on <u>24 Marc</u> | <u>h 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This ac | ction is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending i | n the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | _ is/are withdrawn fr | om consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12,15,16 and 1</u> | Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12,15,16 and 19-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | 7 | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that a | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings | are required in reply to | this Office action. | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is object | ted to by the Examir | ier. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12 | 0 | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a | claim for foreign pric | ority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified controlapplication from the* See the attached detailed Office | International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a | een received in this National Stage))). not received. | | | |
| | | | .C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a continuous continuous for the foreign and the foreign are the foreign as the foreign and the foreign are the foreign and the foreign are the foreign and the foreign are | gn language provisio | nal application ha | s been received. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | · | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14) | riew (PTO-948) 149) Paper No(s) | | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Action S | Summary | Part of Paper No. 9 | | | |

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: Unless applicant intends the claims to be in Jepson format, the phrase "characterized in" in claims 1 and 23 should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 23. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3679

5. Applicant claims to have amended claim 4 on page 8 of the Amendment filed on March 24, 2003, however no such amendment has been made. The claim still contains the phrase "such as" which renders the claim indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 11, 12, 15, 16, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giannuzzi (US 5447005).

Regarding claim 1, Giannuzzi discloses a fastening device comprising a male part 23 and a female part 10 capable of being selectively inserted into bores passing through a stack of at least 2 panels 22, 21 in which the female part is an elastic clip comprising a cap 10 from which extends a hollow foot having different minimum and maximum internal transverse dimensions, wherein the male part comprises a head 24 extended by a barrel 23 being selectively inserted into the hollow foot through an opening 11 in the cap, and the fastener having an unlocked configuration in which the foot has a reduced transverse dimension (between the bottom of P1 and P2) and a locked configuration in which the foot is subjected by the barrel to a radial elastic expansion, and the cap is formed by a spring blade bent back on itself (col. 4, 1. 7-11) and comprising at least one inner branch 10a joined on the hollow foot and one outer branch 10 into which the

Art Unit: 3679

opening 11 of the cap is pierced, and in that the inner and outer branches are apart from one another at least for the unlocked position and include a gap between the inner and outer branches (see Fig. 6) which allows for an elastic deformation of at least part of the outer branch when the foot moves from its unlocked configuration to its locked configuration.

Referring to claim 2, Giannuzzi discloses the hollow foot comprising a plurality of prongs 12, 13 having respective attached ends by which these prongs are joined to the cap, and respective radially converging free ends which between them define the minimum internal transverse dimension of the foot.

As to claim 3, Giannuzzi discloses the male and female parts comprising at least first 23 and second 15, 17 respective surface features disposed facing one another for an extreme relative axial position of the male and female parts, selectively obtained by completely inserting the barrel into the foot.

Regarding claims 4 and 25, Giannuzzi discloses the first surface feature being formed by a radial protuberance, screw thread 23, of the barrel.

Regarding claim 11, Giannuzzi discloses the clip of the fastener being produced by cutting, bending, and heat-treating a metal blank (col. 4, 1, 7-11).

As to claim 12, Giannuzzi discloses the clip being made of steel (col. 4, 1. 7-8).

In regards to claim 15, Giannuzzi discloses a the barrel having a collar, which is read as one of the screw threads 23, inserted into the opening of the cap counter to an elastic force and rendering the male and female parts inseparable from each other.

Art Unit: 3679

Referring to claim 16, Giannuzzi discloses the head 24 pressing against the outer branch 10 for the locked configuration of the foot, and moving the inner and outer branches toward one another.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giannuzzi (US 5447005) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Osterland et al. (US 4630338).

As to claim 9, Giannuzzi discloses the claimed fastener except for the opening of the cap having at least one elastic radial tab to cooperate with the barrel. Osterland teaches at least one elastic radial tab 16, 18 in the opening of the cap to cooperate with a male part. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include at least one elastic radial tab in the opening of Giannuzzi's fastener as disclosed in Osterland to receive the male part of the fastener to lockingly secure the male part to the female part.

Regarding claim 10, Giannuzzi discloses the claimed fastener except for the inner branch of the cap including at least two internal elastic tabs capable of applying pressure to the stack of panels in the locked configuration of the foot. Osterland teaches at least

Art Unit: 3679

two internal elastic tabs 24, 26 on the inner branch of the cap. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include at least two internal elastic tabs on the cap of the fastener of Giannuzzi as disclosed in Osterland to apply pressure to the stack of panels for a more secure connection.

10. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giannuzzi (US 5447005).

As to claims 19-22, the specific dimensions of the thickness of the stack of panels and bores in the panels would be recognized depending on the particular application of the invention.

10. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giannuzzi (US 5447005) in view of Asami et al. (US 5568675).

Regarding claim 24, Giannuzzi discloses a fastening device comprising a male part 23 and a female part 10 capable of being inserted to bores passing through a stack of at least 2 panels 22, 21, wherein the female part is an elastic clip comprising a cap 10 from which extends a hollow foot having different minimum and maximum internal transverse dimensions, wherein the male part comprises a head 24 extended by a barrel 23 being selectively inserted into the hollow foot through an opening 11 in the cap, the hollow foot and the barrel having an unlocked configuration with respect to each other in which the foot has a reduced transverse dimension (between the bottom of P1 and P2) and a locked configuration in which the foot is subjected by the barrel to a radial elastic expansion, wherein the cap is formed by a spring blade bent back on itself (col. 4, 1, 7-11)

Art Unit: 3679

and comprising at least one inner branch 10a joined on the hollow foot and one outer branch 10 into which the opening 11 of the cap is pierced, and in that the inner and outer branches are apart from one another at least for the unlocked position and include a gap between the inner and outer branches (see Fig. 6) which allows for an elastic deformation of at least part of the outer branch when the foot moves from its unlocked configuration to its locked configuration, wherein the hollow foot comprises a plurality of prongs 12. 13 having respective attached ends by which these prongs are joined to the cap, and respective radially converging free ends which between them define the minimum internal transverse dimension of the foot, wherein the male and female parts comprise at least first 23 and second 15, 17 respective surface features disposed facing one another for an extreme relative axial position of the male and female parts, selectively obtained by completely inserting the barrel into the foot, the first and second features mutually cooperating to maintain the male and female parts in the extreme relative axial position, and the first surface feature is formed by radial protuberances 23. Giannuzzi fails to disclose the radial protuberance being in the form of lugs each comprising a shoulder on which a blade bears when the male and female parts are in their locked configuration. As to claim 26, Giannuzzi discloses the claimed fastener as above except for the radial protuberance of the barrel being a lug. Asami teaches protuberances in the form of lugs 6 comprising a shoulder on which a blade bears when the male and female parts are in their locked configuration (see Figs. 6 and 7). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace the screw thread 23

Art Unit: 3679

of Giannuzzi with lugs as disclosed in Asami so the male and female parts will snap into place preventing disengagement in the locked position (see col. 4, l. 12-19).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 6-8 and 13, 14, 17, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The prior art fails to disclose or suggest a fastener including all the limitations of claim 1 and also including male and female parts with first and second surface features, respectively, where the second surface feature is formed by a free end of the prongs of the female part, and third and fourth surface features disposed facing one another and cooperating to maintain the male and female parts in their relative rotational position. The prior art further fails to disclose or suggest the head of the male part passing through the outer branch of the cap and coming to rest against the inner branch for the locked configuration of the foot.

Response to Arguments

13. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Giannuzzi cannot be used for maintaining panels in a stack or to ensure the stack of panels can withstand vibrations) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of

Art Unit: 3679

the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- 14. Applicant argues that Giannuzzi fails to disclose the cap being "formed by a spring blade being bent back on itself". The examiner respectfully disagrees because the reference discloses "the blades of the anchor" having "spring metal characteristics", and since the entire fastener is all one piece, and therefore each part is made of the same material. Accordingly, Giannuzzi also discloses the cap having at least some "spring metal characteristics" and the rejection is therefore maintained.
- Applicant's arguments, see Paper No. 8, filed March 24, 2003, with respect to the rejections of claims 1, 3, 4, 9, 10, and 15 under Coules in view of Peterson et al., claims 2, 6-8, 13, and 14 under Coules in view of Peterson et al. and in further view of DeRobertis, and claims 1 and 17 under Adell in view of Coules have been fully considered and are persuasive.

 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection has been made for claims 9 and 10 over Giannuzzi in view of Osterland et al.

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jori R. Schiffman whose telephone number is 703-305-4805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3679

Page 10

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-1113.

Jori R. Schiffman Examiner Art Unit 3679

JS May 27, 2003

Flemming Saether
Primary Examiner